## Superconducting single-electron transistor and the $\phi$ -modulation of supercurrent

M. Aunola

Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35 (YFL), FIN-40351 Jyväskylä, FINLAND (Dated: February 1, 2008)

An analytical expression for the supercurrent of a superconducting single-electron transistor (SSET) is derived. The derivation is based on analogy between the model Hamiltonian for  $E_{\rm J} > E_{\rm C}$  and a discrete, one-dimensional harmonic oscillator (1DDHO). The resulting supercurrent is nearly identical to the supercurrent obtained from a continuous harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian.

The superconducting single-electron transistor consists of two consequent Josephson junctions and an intervening island on which the amount of charge can be controlled by a gate voltage. The relevant energy scales of the system are given by the Josephson energy  $E_{\rm J}$  and the charging energy  $E_{\rm C} := (-2e)^2/[2(C_1 + C_2 + C_{\rm g})]$  where  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_{\rm g}$  are the capacitances of the two junctions and the gate capacitance, respectively. For independent junctions the Hamiltonian of the system is given by

$$H = H_{\rm C} - \sum_{j=1}^{2} E_{\rm J,j} \cos(\phi_j),$$
 (1)

where  $H_{\rm C}$  gives the charging energy of the island and  $\phi_j$  is the phase difference across the  $j^{\rm th}$  junction.

The proper variables for description of the system are the phase difference across the array  $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$ , and the number of Cooper pairs on the island  $N.^1$  The phase difference  $\phi$  is a constant of motion if the voltage across the SSET is ideally biased to zero. The Hamiltonian is fixed using the arguments given in Ref. 2, i.e. by taking  $C_j = c_j C$  and  $E_{\mathrm{J},j} = c_j E_{\mathrm{J}}$ , where  $c_1^{-1} + c_2^{-1} = 2$ . The normalised gate charge  $q = V_{\mathrm{g}} C_{\mathrm{g}}/(-2e)$  sets the amount of free charge on the island to (-2e)(N-q). In the charge state representation the Hamiltonian reads<sup>3,4</sup>

$$H_{\rm C} = E_{\rm C} \sum_{N} (N - q)^2 |N, \phi\rangle\langle N, \phi|, \qquad (2)$$

$$H_{\rm J} = -(E_{\rm J}/2)(c_1^2 + c_2^2 + 2c_1c_2\cos(\phi))^{1/2} \times \sum_{N} \left(e^{i\theta(\phi)}|N+1,\phi\rangle\langle N,\phi| + \text{h.c.}\right), \quad (3)$$

where  $\tan(\theta) = (c_1 - c_2) \tan(\phi/2)/(c_1 + c_2)$ . In the limit of vanishing charging energy when  $H_C \to 0$ , the ground state energy and supercurrent are given by<sup>4</sup>

$$E(\phi) = -E_{\rm J}(c_1^2 + c_2^2 + 2c_1c_2\cos(\phi))^{1/2},\tag{4}$$

$$I_{\rm S}^{(0)} = \frac{E_{\rm J}(c_1 + c_2 + 2c_1c_2\cos(\phi))}{\hbar}, \qquad (1)$$

$$I_{\rm S}^{(0)} = \frac{-2e}{\hbar} \frac{\partial E(\phi)}{\partial \phi} = \frac{(-2e/\hbar)E_{\rm J}c_1c_2\sin(\phi)}{(c_1^2 + c_2^2 + 2c_1c_2\cos(\phi))^{1/2}}. \qquad (5)$$

If the charging effects are not negligible the Hamiltonian  $H_{\rm C}+H_{\rm J}$  expressed in unit of  $E_{\rm C}$  is identical to that of a 1DDHO with coupling constant  $\varepsilon_\phi:=E(\phi)/E_{\rm C}$ . The eigenenergies are independent of the phase factor  $e^{i\theta(\phi)}$  which simply fixes the relative phase between consequtive charge states  $|N\rangle$  and  $|N+1\rangle$ .

For a continuous HO with the same  $\varepsilon_{\phi}$  the eigenenergies are given by  $E_{j}=-\varepsilon_{\phi}+\sqrt{2\varepsilon_{\phi}}(j+\frac{1}{2})$ . In case of a 1DDHO with large  $\varepsilon_{\phi}$  the bottom of the well is lifted by approximately  $\frac{1}{8}$  and oscillator frequency  $\sqrt{2a}$  is replaced by  $\sqrt{2a}-\frac{1}{8}$ . With these modifications numerically obtained eigenstates satisfy the virial theorem  $\langle H_{\rm J} \rangle = \langle H_{\rm C} \rangle$  quite well. The agreement is best for the ground state for which the expression

$$E_0(\varepsilon_\phi) = -\varepsilon_\phi + \sqrt{\varepsilon_\phi/2} + 1/16 \tag{6}$$

very accurate for  $\varepsilon_{\phi} > 10$  and even at  $\varepsilon_{\phi} \approx 2$  the error is smaller than 0.01 for any q. Because of the constant correction the derivative  $\partial E_0/\partial \varepsilon_{\phi}$  is the same as in the continuous case. For weaker couplings with  $\varepsilon_{\phi} \lesssim 2$  the minimum position q of the potential energy becomes important, but direct diagonalisation of the Hamiltonian is simple. When Eq. (6) is valid we obtain the final result

$$I_{\rm S}^{\rm SSET}(\phi) = I_{\rm S}^{(0)}[1 - (8\varepsilon_{\phi})^{-1/2}],$$
 (7)

where  $I_{\rm S}^{(0)}$  is the supercurrent in the absence of charging effects. The magnitude of the correction  $(8\varepsilon_{\phi})^{-1/2}$  is of the order of 10 % when  $\varepsilon_{\phi} \sim 10$ . The correction slightly decreases the maximal obtainable supercurrent and it is important for nearly homogeneous arrays  $(c_1 \approx 1)$  as the coupling strength  $E_{\phi}$  becomes small near  $\phi = (2k+1)\pi$ , where k is an integer.

This work has been supported by the Academy of Finland under the Finnish Centre of Excellence Programme 2000-2005 (Project No. 44875, Nuclear and Condensed Matter Programme at JYFL). The author thanks Dr. S. Paraoanu for insightful comments.

D. V. Averin and K. K. Likharev, in *Mesoscopic phenomena in solids*, edited by B. L. Althschuler, P. A. Lee, and R. A. Webb (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991), p. 213.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> M. Aunola, J. J. Toppari, and J. P. Pekola, Phys. Rev. B (62), 1296 (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> T. M. Eiles and J. M. Martinis, Phys. Rev. B (64), R627 (1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> M. Tinkham, Introduction to superconductivity, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1996), pp. 274—277.